

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,211	10/643,211 08/18/2003		Jonathan Doench	19041-10	4032
24256	7590	12/22/2005		EXAMINER	
DINSMOR			JOHNSON, BLAIR M		
	255 EAST FIFTH STREET				PAPER NUMBER
CINCINNA	TI, OH 4	15202	3634		

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/643,211	DOENCH, JONATHAN			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Blair M. Johnson	3634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	·					
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 O</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims						
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) ⊠ 8) □ Application	Claim(s) 1,3-15 and 20-23 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3-9,12-15 and 20-23 is/are rejected Claim(s) 10 and 11 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or papers The specification is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration. r election requirement.				
,—	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:				

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 9, there is no structure that provides a basis for the recitation of a "diameter" of the support hook.

In claim 12, there is no antecedent basis for "each opposing side wall".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,3-5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Michaud.

Fig. 3 shows a hook h,i,j, and a body B having a bottom wall, side walls, an aperture through which the hook extends, and protrusions f that contact the hook.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,211

Art Unit: 3634

Claims 1 and 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vosbikian in view of Willits, Jr.

In Vosbikian, see body 1, apertures 7,8,9, (at the bottom thereof), protrusions 6, walls 4, bottom wall 5 and slots 7,8,9, (in the side walls 4). The limitations concerning the body configured to support a shelf, having slots for supporting a shelf, configured to engage a standard, etc., are merely functional recitations of which the bracket of Vosbikian is capable of achieving. Further, see hook 11. What are not shown are the protrusions. However, Willits discloses a hook mounted in an aperture and firmly held in place by engagement between the hook and protrusions 56,57. It would have been obvious to: (1) modify Vosbikian whereby his channels are shallower so that protrusions 6 firmly engage the hook portion 10 when the hook has been put in place; or (2) provide protrusions in the channel so as to engage the hook portion 10 to firmly hold the hook, both scenarios as suggested by Willits.

Claims 8,9,12-15 and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Great Britain 1,291,401.

See hook 4,5,6, which has a middle portion 4 that appears to be in the range of 120-150 degrees from the upper, horizontal portion, such being an obvious modification to be determined so as to provide the optimum support arrangement. See also semicylindrical portion 6, and bracket 1 having an aperture 2 that has converging walls that form protrusions. Claim 9 is met as best understood. Further, a cylindrical shaped hook upper portion and corresponding cylindrical channel for receipt thereof is clearly an obvious variant of the dove-tail connection shown.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blair M. Johnson whose telephone number is (571) 272-6830. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on (571) 272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

BMJ 12/20/05